

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/815,881	ALGER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tae H. Yoon	1796	

All Participants:

(1) Tae H. Yoon.

(2) Ms. DeSimone.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2008

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 10:30 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

21-24

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested deletion of redundant phrase in claims 22-24 and of the second period (.) in claim 21. Applicant agreed with the suggestion.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Tae H Yoon/ Tae H Yoon
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)